THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

22

23

24

25

26

20

WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CASE NO. CR19-0228-JCC

ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on the parties' stipulated motion to proceed with Defendant Jae Y. Lee's change of plea hearing by video or telephonic conference (Dkt. No. 53). Having thoroughly considered the parties' motion and the relevant record, the Court finds oral argument unnecessary and hereby GRANTS the parties' stipulated motion to proceed with the change of plea hearing by video or telephonic conference (Dkt. No. 53) for the reasons explained herein.

I. BACKGROUND

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

v.

JAE Y. LEE,

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

On November 9, 2019, Defendant and his three co-defendants were charged with five counts related to a scheme to solicit and receive kickbacks in connection with urine toxicology testing. (Dkt. No. 3.) The parties have reached a plea agreement and ask the Court to permit Defendant to enter his guilty plea by video or telephonic conference. (Dkt. No. 53 at 2.)

Defendant has waived his right to be personally present for the change of plea hearing and

ORDER CR19-0228-JCC PAGE - 1 1

consents to proceed via video or telephonic conference. (Dkt. No. 53-1 at 2.)

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

II. DISCUSSION

On March 30, 2020, Chief Judge Ricardo S. Martinez issued General Order 04-20, which states in relevant part,

The use of video conferencing or telephone conferencing for felony pleas under Fed. R. Crim. P. 11 and felony sentencings under Fed. R. Crim. P. 32 is hereby authorized provided that the district judge in a particular case finds for specific reasons that the plea or sentencing in that case cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice. In cases that can be further delayed without such harm, the district judge should instead continue the sentencing or trial date.

W.D. Wash., General Order 04-20, at 2–3 (Mar. 30, 2020); see W.D. Wash., General Order 09-20 (June 25, 2020) (extending General Order 04-20).

In this case, delaying Defendant's guilty plea hearing, and consequently his sentencing, would cause serious harm to the interests of justice. Under General Order 08-20, in-person criminal hearings in the Western District of Washington will not resume until at least August 3, 2020. See W.D. Wash., General Order 08-20, at 2 (May 13, 2020). Thus, absent the Court's intervention, Defendant cannot participate in a guilty plea hearing until at least August 3, 2020, despite having already reached a plea agreement with the Government. (Dkt. No. 53 at 2.) Unnecessarily delaying Defendant's guilty plea hearing until at least August 3, 2020, would prejudice Defendant, who has an interest in a speedy resolution of this matter. (See id.) The Court therefore FINDS that Defendant's guilty plea hearing "cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice." See W.D. Wash., General Order 04-20, at 3.

III. **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, the Court GRANTS Defendant's motion to proceed with the change of plea hearing by video or teleconference (Dkt. No. 53). The Court ORDERS that Defendant's guilty plea hearing before a Magistrate Judge be set as soon as practicable and be conducted by video or telephonic conference.

//

ORDER CR19-0228-JCC PAGE - 2

John C. Coughenour UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DATED this 16th day of July 2020.

ORDER CR19-0228-JCC PAGE - 3